

4

roposal to include 34 Belmore St, Enmore as a local heritage item (0 dwellings and jobs).			
Proposal Title :	Proposal to include 34 Belmor	e St, Enmore as a local heri	itage item (0 dwellings and jobs).
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks t Environmental Plan 2011 (MLE freestanding house at 34 Belm	P2011) to include the weath	herboard Victorian Filigree
PP Number :	PP_2017_IWEST_007_00	Dop File No :	17/04946
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	23-Mar-2017	LGA covered :	Inner West
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Inner West Council
State Electorate :	MARRICKVILLE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping		
ocation Details			
Street : 34	Belmore Street		(6
Suburb : Er	nmore City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2042
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 4 DP 136		
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Jazmin van Veen		
Contact Number :	0293732877		
Contact Email :	jazmin.vanveen@planning.nsw	.gov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Maxine Bayley		
Contact Number :	0293352060		
Contact Email :	maxine.bayley@innerwest.nsw	.gov.au	
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Martin Cooper		
Contact Number :	0292286582		
Contact Email :	martin.cooper@planning.nsw.g	jov.au	
Land Release Dat	ta		
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strateg	y :

Proposal to include 34 Belmore St, Enmore as a local heritage item (0 dwellings and jobs).

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning and E communications with registered l		
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The planning proposal is support property which has been independent However, it is recommended that the assessment of the interiors of listing or their removal from the list	dently assessed to have loc the Gateway Determination the dwelling prior to their in	al heritage significance. include a condition requiring
External Supporting Notes :	The planning proposal seeks to include the weatherboard house at 34 Belmore St, Enmore as a local heritage item in the Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011. An Interim Heritage Order has previously been issued for the property following community concern for a development application to demolish the dwelling in 2015.		
	Council is seeking delegation to c the Environmental Planning and A considered appropriate as the ma	ssessment Act 1979 (the EF	

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to include 34 Belmore St, Enmore as a local heritage item within the MLEP2011.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

The planning proposal seeks to amend Part 1 of Schedule 5 of MLEP2011 to include 34 Comment : Belmore St, Enmore as a local heritage item as "Weatherboard Victorian Filigree style freestanding house - "Ashley Villa", including interiors. An amendment to the Heritage Map Series will also identify the property.

Proposal to include 34 Belmore St, Enmore as a local heritage item (0 dwellings and jobs).

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 1—Development Standards SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks **SEPP No 30—Intensive Agriculture** SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development SEPP No 50—Canal Estate Development SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 62—Sustainable Aquaculture SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Major Projects) 2005 SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment) 2007

SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

A series of maps are provided within the planning proposal showing the location of the subject site, as well as the current and proposed Heritage Map.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : The planning proposal suggests the proposal is of low impact, but that any public exhibition would be in accordance with the conditions of the Gateway Determination. Given the community concern expressed in relation to the proposed development application which led to the Interim Heritage Order, it is appropriate that a 28 day exhibition be required. Proposal to include 34 Belmore St, Enmore as a local heritage item (0 dwellings and jobs).

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation Marrickville LEP 2011 was published on 12 December 2011. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal seeks to heritage list a single dwelling which was proposed for demolition. Following community concern from the Marrickville Heritage Society, the former Marrickville Council placed an Interim Heritage Order (IHO) on the property.		
	In accordance with the terms of the IHO, a heritage assessment was undertaken which concluded that the house meets the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage's criteria for local heritage listing based on its rarity, intactness and representativeness as an extant freestanding Victorian Filigree timber house on its original lots within the 1876 Ashley Estate subdivision. Following consideration of a report on the heritage assessment's conclusions, Council resolved to list 34 Belmore Street, Enmore, as a local heritage item.		
	The proposal seeks to include the interiors of the dwelling within the item name of the listing under Schedule 5 MLEP2011. However, the accompanying heritage assessment report notes that the interior of the dwelling has not been assessed. It is proposed that a condition of the Gateway determination require the heritage assessment report be updated to include an assessment of the interiors to determine their significance. Alternatively, if the interiors have no heritage value, the planning proposal should be amended to remove reference to the inclusion of interiors in the listing.		
	The planning proposal is the only means to afford ongoing heritage protection to the property.		

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with the objectives of A Plan for Growing Sydney (2014). A Plan for Growing Sydney notes that 'heritage studies identify buildings and places to be listed as heritage items or heritage conservation areas in a Local Environmental Plan to enable their ongoing protection and management.' This proposed new heritage listing is part of this ongoing process of local government protection of local heritage places.			
	and Identifies the impo priorities and objectiv characteristics'; and - heritage including Abo proposal is consistent	rict Plan (dCDP) was released for pub ortance of heritage conservation. The es: - Liveability Priority 7: 'conserve I Liveability Action L13: `conserve and original, European and natural.' It is c t with these priorities and actions as i ues for current and future generations	dCDP includes the followin neritage and unique local enhance environmental onsidered that this planning t seeks to protect a building	g J
	The planning proposa Place, Our Vision).	I is consistent with the Marrickville C	ommunity Strategic Plan (O	ur
Environmental social economic impacts :		I is relatively minor but will allow for within the local community.	protection of a greatly	
Assessment Proces	55			
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environment	and Heritage		
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)((b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional s	studies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasor	ns :			
Identify any internal cor No internal consultation				
Is the provision and fun	ding of state infrastructure	e relevant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				
cuments				
Document File Name		DocumentType Na	me is Pub	IIC

a local heritage item (0 dwellings	s and jobs).
Proposal	Yes
Proposal Study	Yes Yes
	Proposal

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions:	 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney 	
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceeds subject to the following conditions: 1. Prior to community consultation, the Heritage Assessment Report be updated to include an assessment of the significance of the interior of the dwelling or the removal of this component from the proposed listing.	
	 The planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days. Consultation is required with the Office of Environment and Heritage A public hearing is not required under 56(2)(e). 	
Supporting Reasons :	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months. The planning proposal is minor and seeks to heritage list a single dwelling which has been assessed as being of local heritage significance. While the Heritage Assessment Report has not been endorsed by the Office of Environment and Heritage, the planning proposal is appropriate to delegate to Council for finalisation due to its minor nature.	
Signature:	Miloz	
Printed Name:	MARTIN COOPER Date: 19/04/2017	